Appendix ATL – Atlanta, Georgia 2003 Annual Report on Freeway Mobility and Reliability

<u>This report is a supplement to</u>: *Monitoring Urban Freeways in 2003: Current Conditions and Trends from Archived Operations Data.* Texas Transportation Institute and Cambridge Systematics, Inc., Report No. FHWA-HOP-05-018, December 2004, available at <u>http://mobility.tamu.edu/mmp</u>.

		Current mit				
Maagunag	Current Year	Las	st Year	Two Years Ago		
wieasures	2003	2002	Change	2001	Change	
Performance Measures						
Travel Time Index	1.24	1.24	0% -	1.19	+4%	
Planning Time Index	1.50	1.46	+3% 个	1.40	+7%	
Buffer Index	17%	15%	+2% 个	15%	+2% 个	
% Congested Travel	44%	40%	+4% 个	39%	+5%	
Total Delay (veh-hours) per						
1000 VMT	4.22	3.85	+10%	3.34	+26%	
Explanatory Measures						
Peak Period VMT (000)	3,430	2,510	+37%	2,830	+21%	
Avg. Annual DVMT (000)	18,790	17,420	+8%	13,250	+42%	
Data Quality Measures						
% complete	54%	40%	14% 🛧	30%	24% 🛧	
% valid	89%	94%	-5% 🗸	89%	0% -	
% of VMT covered	43%	40%	+3%	31%	+12%	
% of freeway miles	30%	24%	+6% 个	18%	+12%	

Exhibit ATL-1: Current Measures and Trends

* See pages 7 and 8 for maps of freeway coverage, measure definitions, and further documentation.







Comments

- The 2003 trends were mixed, with the travel time index showing no change but most other measures showing a 2-4% decline in congestion and reliability over 2002 levels.
- The 2003 vehicle travel (DVMT) was up significantly from both 2002 and 2001 levels.
- Sensor coverage of freeway and vehicle travel has improved over the last 2 years.

Data Source(s): Georgia Department of Transportation (<u>http://www.georgia-navigator.com/</u>)

Includes 91 of 302 (30%) total freeway miles in Atlanta; collected using video imaging and microwave radar detectors; see page 7 for additional information on the data source **Data Analysis:** Cambridge Systematics, Inc., analysis completed October 2004

Time of Day Patterns and Trends

The charts on this page illustrate average weekday (no holidays included) traffic patterns and trends that were measured on the freeway sections instrumented with operations-based traffic sensors.



Exhibit ATL-4: Mobility and Reliability by Time of Average Weekday



Exhibit ATL-5: Frequency and Percentage of Congested Travel by Time of Average Weekday

Comments

- This chart shows areawide congestion and reliability patterns. The difference between the solid line (travel time index) and the dashed line (planning time index) is the additional "buffer" or "time cushion" that travelers must add to average trip times to ensure 95% on-time arrival.
- The evening congestion level is much higher than in the morning.
- Travelers must add 30-40% additional buffer time during peak times to account for traffic unreliability.

- This chart illustrates the difference in using two different speed thresholds (50 and 60 mph) to compute the percent of congested days as well as the percent of congested travel.
- The chart indicates slow speeds (even less than 50 mph) in the early morning hours.

Time Period of the Day Patterns and Trends

The charts on this page illustrate average weekday (no holidays included) traffic patterns and trends that were measured on the freeway sections instrumented with operations-based traffic sensors. The time periods are defined uniformly for all cities to facilitate trend analysis over time and between cities. The time periods are defined as follows:

- Early AM: 12 to 6 am
- AM Peak: 6 to 9 am
- Mid-day: 9 am to 4 pm
- PM Peak: 4 to 7 pm
- Late PM: 7 pm to 12 am







Comments

- This chart shows the percent of delay that occurred during different time periods of an average weekday. Note that the AM and PM peak periods are the same duration, but that the other time periods have different lengths.
- The delay in the afternoon peak period is significantly greater than the morning peak period.
- Delay during the mid-day period is comparable to delay during the morning peak period.

- This chart shows congestion and reliability (shown as bars) as well as delay (shown as a line) during different time periods of an average weekday.
- The trends in this chart follow closely those shown in Exhibit 6.
- The travel time index for the mid-day period is low, but the delay is relatively high because of the length of this time period (7 hours).

Exhibit ATL-7: Mobility, Reliability, and Delay by Time Period

Day of Week Patterns and Trends

The charts on this page illustrate average traffic patterns and trends that were measured on the freeway sections instrumented with operations-based traffic sensors. Because of different peak period times and lengths on weekdays and weekends, the statistics presented on this page are 24-hour daily totals or averages.



Comments

- This chart shows the percent of total daily delay that occurred during each day of the week.
- The delay from Wednesday through Friday is comparable, accounting for 18-19% each day.
- Each of the weekend days has about half of the normal weekday delay.





- This chart shows average daily congestion and reliability (shown as bars) as well as total daily delay (shown as a line) during each day of the week.
- The trends in this chart follow closely those shown in Exhibit 8.
- Thursday has the most delay and is the least reliable day (highest planning time index).

Exhibit ATL-9: Mobility, Reliability, and Delay by Day of Week

Other Traffic Data Patterns and Trends

The chart on this page illustrates average traffic patterns and trends that were measured on the freeway sections instrumented with operations-based traffic sensors.



- This chart shows the percent of VMT, time, and delay in different speed ranges. This chart is useful to determine how much VMT and delay occurred at different congestion levels.
- Only 8% of the delay occurred in the 50 to 60 mph range.
- Only 9% of the VMT is below 40 mph, but 80% of the delay is below 40 mph.

Exhibit ATL-10: Percent of VMT, Delay and Time Periods in Different Speed Ranges

Mobility and Reliability Statistics for Specific Freeway Sections

The table in this section illustrates average weekday (no holidays included) statistics from the freeway sections instrumented with operations-based traffic sensors. Where possible, the freeway sections have been defined to begin and end at major interchanges, streets, or other locations where traffic conditions are likely to change. The freeway sections are typically between 5 and 10 miles in length.

		Travel Time Index		Buffer Index					
Freeway Section		Mornina		Evening	Average	Mornina		Evenina	Average
(sorted from most congested to	Length	Peak	Midday	Peak	peak	Peak	Midday	Peak	peak
least congested sections)	(mi)	(6a-9a)	(9a-4p)	(4p-7p)	period	(6a-9a)	(9a-4p)	(4p-7p)	period
I-75 SB: I-85 Split to I-20	4.04	1.21	1.34	3.27	1.88	51%	109%	66%	56%
I-75 NB: I-285 to Wade Green	13.33	1.01	1.12	2.30	1.81	2%	52%	59%	37%
I-75 NB: I-20 to I-85 Split	3.73	1.59	1.21	1.57	1.58	87%	75%	115%	100%
I-285 EB: GA-400 to Chamblee									
Tucker	7.15	1.01	1.09	1.95	1.50	4%	50%	76%	41%
I-285 WB: GA-400 to Cobb Pky	6.94	1.01	1.06	1.55	1.30	4%	34%	64%	36%
I-85 NB: SR-316 to Jimmy Carter									
Blvd	6.60	1.02	1.06	1.46	1.29	3%	28%	41%	26%
I-85 NB: Jimmy Carter Blvd to SR-									
317	6.52	1.46	1.06	1.02	1.27	58%	33%	3%	34%
I-75 SB: Wade Green to I-285	13.30	1.42	1.06	1.02	1.26	52%	24%	8%	34%
I-285 WB: Chamblee Tucker to									
GA-400	7.17	1.36	1.05	1.13	1.26	46%	28%	62%	53%
I-20 EB: I-285 (Eastside) to I-75/85	11.61	1.01	1.02	1.27	1.20	6%	13%	38%	29%
I-75 SB: I-285 to I-85 Split	9.63	1.16	1.11	1.22	1.19	39%	60%	63%	50%
I-285 EB: Cobb Pky to GA-400	6.87	1.24	1.03	1.08	1.17	54%	17%	47%	51%
I-75 SB: I-20 to I-285	7.36	1.01	1.01	1.25	1.15	1%	1%	53%	33%
I-75 NB: I-285 to I-20	7.72	1.22	1.02	1.04	1.14	53%	3%	13%	35%
I-85 SB: Jimmy Carter Blvd to I-75	13.60	1.13	1.04	1.15	1.14	28%	21%	41%	34%
I-85 NB: I-75 to Jimmy Carter Blvd	14.00	1.01	1.02	1.22	1.13	3%	10%	38%	23%
I-75 NB: I-85 Split to I-285	8.95	1.01	1.01	1.20	1.11	3%	2%	59%	35%
I-20 WB: I-75/85 to I-285									
(Eastside)	11.61	1.16	1.02	1.01	1.10	33%	8%	9%	24%
I-20 EB: I-285 (Westside) to I-									
75/85	6.43	1.06	1.02	1.03	1.05	35%	9%	15%	26%
I-20 WB: I-75/86 to I-285									
(Westside)	6.43	1.00	1.00	1.05	1.04	0%	0%	22%	14%
I-85 NB: Camp Creek Pky to I-75	4.18	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.02	3%	0%	2%	3%
I-85 SB: I-75 to Camp Creek Pky	4.05	1.01	1.00	1.02	1.01	1%	0%	12%	8%
Average for all Sections		1.16	1.05	1.32	1.24	29%	25%	41%	35%

Exhibit ATL-11. Mobility and Reliability by Section and Time Period

Comments

• This table shows average weekday congestion (travel time index) and reliability (buffer index) for specific routes for different time periods of the day.

Source and Coverage of Data

This report was produced using data collected and archived by the Georgia Department of Transportation (<u>http://www.georgia-navigator.com/</u>). A map of the freeway routes on which traffic data was collected is shown below (shaded lines indicate routes with sensors).



Exhibit ATL-12: Freeway Routes with Traffic Sensors in Atlanta (Source of graphic: Georgia Navigator, <u>http://www.georgia-navigator.com/</u>)

Exhibit ATE-15. Instrumented Freeway Coverage in Atlanta						
Coverage Measures	Year	Instrumented Freeway Routes	Total Freeway System ¹	Percent Coverage		
Lane-miles	2000	342	2,285	15%		
	2001	522	2,290	23%		
	2002	775	2,295	34%		
	2003	862	2,300	37%		
Centerline-miles	2000	40	300	13%		
	2001	53	300	18%		
	2002	73	301	24%		
	2003	91	302	30%		
Average annual	2000	8,820	42,940	21%		
daily vehicle-miles	2001	13,250	43,000	31%		
of travel (DVMT)	2002	17,420	43,390	40%		
(1000)	2003	18,790	43,615	43%		
¹ Source is FHWA's Highway Performance Monitoring System and the Texas Transportation Institute's Urban						
Mobility Study (http://mobility/tamu.edu/ums).						

Exhibit ATL-13: Instrumented Freeway Coverage in Atlanta

Documentation and Definitions

Performance Measures

- **Travel Time Index:** ratio of the average peak period travel time to an off-peak travel time. For example, a value of 1.20 means that average peak travel times are 20% longer than off-peak travel times. In this report, the morning peak period is from 6 to 9 a.m. and the evening peak period is from 4 to 7 p.m. The off-peak travel time is calculated by assuming a free-flow speed of 60 mph.
- **Planning Time Index:** statistically defined as the 95th percentile Travel Time Index, this measure also represents the extra time most travelers include when planning peak period trips. For example, a value of 1.60 means that travelers plan for an additional 60% travel time above the off-peak travel times to ensure 95% on-time arrival.
- **Buffer Index:** the extra time (or buffer) needed to ensure on-time arrival for most trips. For example, a value of 40% means that a traveler should budget an additional 8 minute buffer for a 20-minute average peak trip time to ensure 95% on-time arrival. In this report, the buffer index is a VMT-weighted average of the buffer index for each route for the morning and evening peak period. The buffer index is calculated for each route and time period as follows: buffer index = (95th percentile travel time average travel time) / average travel time.
- % Congested Travel: the congested peak period vehicle-miles of travel (VMT) divided by total VMT in the peak period. This is a relative measure of the amount of peak period travel affected by congestion.
- **Total Delay per 1000 VMT:** the total vehicle delay (in vehicle-hours) divided by the amount of VMT. This is a relative measure of the total delay and will not be as affected by changes in the level of sensor instrumentation for a particular city.
- Vehicle Delay: the delay (in vehicle-hours) experienced by vehicles traveling less than free-flow speeds (assumed to be 60 mph in this report).

Explanatory Measures

- **Peak Period VMT:** the average amount of VMT within the defined peak periods (weekdays from 6 to 9 a.m. and 4 to 7 p.m.) for the year. Peak period VMT is reported by 1000s.
- Average Annual DVMT (000): the average annual amount of daily VMT (DVMT) for all days and times for the year. Average annual DVMT is reported by 1000s.

Data Quality Measures

- % complete: the number of valid reported data values divided by the number of total expected data values (given the number of active sensors and time periods). In this report, % complete is reported as the lowest value of either traffic volume or speed data.
- % valid: the number of reported data values that passed defined acceptance criteria divided by the total number of reported data values. In this report, % valid is reported as the lowest value of either traffic volume or speed data.
- % of DVMT covered: the amount of average annual DVMT reported by sensors divided by the areawide average annual DVMT as estimated in FHWA's Highway Performance Monitoring System and TTI's Urban Mobility Study. This measure characterizes the relative amount of areawide travel that has the performance indicated in this report.
- % coverage of freeway mileage: the amount of freeway lane-miles containing sensors divided by the areawide freeway lane-miles as estimated in FHWA's Highway Performance Monitoring System and TTI's Urban Mobility Study. This measure characterizes the relative amount of areawide freeways that has the performance indicated in this report.